arXiv:1201.4917v1 [math.AT] 24 Jan 2012

THE HOMOLOGY COALGEBRA AND COHOMOLOGY ALGEBRA OF GENERALIZED MOMENT-ANGLE COMPLEXES

QIBING ZHENG

Abstract. In this paper, we compute the homology coalgebra and cohomology algebra over a field of all generalized moment-angle complexes and give a duality theorem on complementary moment-angle complexes.

The moment-angle complexes have been studied by topologists for many years (*cf.* [19] [15]). In 1990's Davis and Januszkiewicz [8] introduced toric manifolds which are studied intensively by algebraic geometers. They observed that every quasi-toric manifold is the quotient of a moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_K$ by the free action of a real torus. The topology of $\mathcal{Z}_K$ is complicated and getting more attentions by topologists lately (*cf.* [11] [14] [4] [18] [10]). Recently a lot of work has been done on generalizing the moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_K = \mathcal{Z}_K(D^2, S^1)$ to space pairs (X, A) (*cf.* [2], [3], [12], [16]). But in general, the (co)homology of a generalized moment-angle complex is not known.

In this paper, we construct a (co)chain complex to compute the (co)homology group of a generalized moment-angle complex in Theorem 1.3 and give a duality theorem for a special type of generalized moment-angle complexes in Theorem 1.6. To compute the coalgebra structure of the homology and the algebra structure of cohomology, we construct two spectral sequences in Theorem 2.3 that converge respectively to the homology coalgebra and cohomology algebra of a generalized moment-angle complex. By using the spectral sequence that collapse for all generalized moment-angle complexes, we determine the homology coalgebra and cohomology algebra structure of a generalized moment-angle complex in Theorem 3.8. We end the paper with an example.

1. The (co)homology group of generalized moment-angle complexes

Notations and Conventions In this paper, $\Bbbk$ is a field. All objects (groups, (co)chain complexes, (co)algebras, etc.) are vector spaces over $\Bbbk$ and $\otimes$ means $\otimes_{\Bbbk}$. So base and dual objects always exist and $H^*(-) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(H_*(-), \Bbbk)$ and $H_*(C_1 \otimes C_2, d_1 \otimes d_2) = H_*(C_1, d_1) \otimes H_*(C_2, d_2)$. For a topological space or a simplicial complex X, $H_*(X) = H_*(X; \Bbbk)$ and $H^*(X) = H^*(X; \Bbbk)$, the (co)homology over $\Bbbk$.

For a positive integer n, $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

A simplicial complex K with vertex set S is a set of subsets of S such that if $\tau \in K$ and $\sigma \subset \tau$, then $\sigma \in K$ and $\{s\} \in K$ for every $s \in S$. 2^S is the full simplicial complex consisting of all subsets of S. The geometrical realization of K is denoted by $|K|$. Notice that all simplicial complexes have the empty set ϕ as a -1-dimensional simplex except the void simplicial complex $\{\}$ that has no simplex at all.

Definition 1.1 *Let $(X, A) = \{(X_k, A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ be a sequence of topological space pair. For a simplicial complex K with vertex set a subset of $[m]$, the topological space $\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$ is defined as follows. For a subset σ of $[m]$, define*

$$D(\sigma) = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n, \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } i \in \sigma, \\ A_i & \text{if } i \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A) = \cup_{\sigma \in K} D(\sigma)$.

In this paper, a generalized moment-angle complex $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$ is a topological space defined as above that satisfies the following condition. Every A_k is either an open subspace of X_k or a deformation retract of an open subspace of X_k. Specifically, if every (X_k, A_k) is a CW-complex pair, $\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$ is a generalized moment-angle complex.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F55, 18G15, Secondary 16E05, 55U10.

Key words and phrases. generalized moment-angle complex, homotopy open cover, spectral sequence.

The author is supported by NSFC grant No. 10771105 and No. 11071125.

Notice that we do not require that the vertex set of K is $[m]$. This will give a complete conclusion for duality.

Definition 1.2 *Let K be a simplicial complex. For a simplex $\sigma\in K$, the link and star of σ are respectively the simplicial complex* $\mathrm{link}_K(\sigma)=\{\eta\in K\,|\,\eta\cup\sigma\in K,\ \eta\cap\sigma=\phi\}$ *and* $\mathrm{star}_K(\sigma)=\{\tau\in K\,|\,\sigma\cup\tau\in K\}$. *For a subset ω of the vertex set of K, $K|_\omega=\{\eta\cap\omega\,|\,\eta\in K\}$. For $\sigma\in K$ and $\sigma\cap\omega=\phi$, $K_{\sigma,\omega}=\mathrm{link}_K(\sigma)|_\omega=\{\omega\cap\eta\,|\,\eta\in\mathrm{link}_K(\sigma)\}$.*

For a generalized moment-angle complex $M=\mathcal{Z}_K(X,A)$, $(X,A)=\{(X_k,A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$, let $i_k\colon H_*(A_k)\to H_*(X_k)$ be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map and $\mathfrak{k}_k=\ker i_k$, $\mathfrak{i}_k=\mathrm{coim}\, i_k=\mathrm{im}\, i_k$, $\mathfrak{c}_k=\mathrm{coker}\, i_k$. $\Sigma=\{k\,|\,\mathfrak{c}_k\neq 0\}$, $\Omega=\{k\,|\,\mathfrak{k}_k\neq 0\}$, $I_M=\{(\sigma,\omega)\,|\,\sigma\in K,\ \sigma\subset\Sigma,\ \omega\subset\Omega,\ \sigma\cap\omega=\phi\}$. *For $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$, $T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)=\mathfrak{a}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathfrak{a}_m$, where $\mathfrak{a}_k=\mathfrak{c}_k$ if $k\in\sigma$, $\mathfrak{a}_k=\mathfrak{k}_k$ if $k\in\omega$, $\mathfrak{a}_k=\mathfrak{i}_k$ otherwise. Dually, let $(-)^*$ denote the dual map and space and so $\mathfrak{k}_k^*=\mathrm{coker}\, i_k^*$, $\mathfrak{i}_k^*=\mathrm{im}\, i_k^*=\mathrm{coim}\, i_k^*$, $\mathfrak{c}_k^*=\ker i_k^*$. For $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$, let $T^*_{\sigma,\omega}(M)=\mathfrak{a}_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathfrak{a}_m$, where $\mathfrak{a}_k=\mathfrak{c}_k^*$ if $k\in\sigma$, $\mathfrak{a}_k=\mathfrak{k}_k^*$ if $k\in\omega$, $\mathfrak{a}_k=\mathfrak{i}_k^*$ otherwise. The relation of the above graded groups are as in the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_*(A_k) \arrow[r, "i_k"] \arrow[d, equal] & H_*(X_k) \arrow[d, equal] & H^*(X_k) \arrow[r, "i_k^*"] \arrow[d, equal] & H^*(A_k) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\mathfrak{k}_k\oplus\mathfrak{i}_k \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{i}_k\oplus\mathfrak{c}_k & \mathfrak{c}_k^*\oplus\mathfrak{i}_k^* \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{i}_k^*\oplus\mathfrak{k}_k^*.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 1.3 *Let $M=\mathcal{Z}_K(X,A)$, $(X,A)=\{(X_k,A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$, be a generalized moment-angle complex and everything is as in Definition 1.2. Then there are group isomorphisms*

$$H_*(M)\cong\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M),\ H_{k+1}^{\sigma,\omega}(M)=\oplus_{s+t=k}\widetilde{H}_s(K_{\sigma,\omega})\otimes T_t^{\sigma,\omega}(M),$$

$$H^*(M)\cong\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H^*_{\sigma,\omega}(M)\,,\ H^{k+1}_{\sigma,\omega}(M)=\oplus_{s+t=k}\widetilde{H}^s(K_{\sigma,\omega})\otimes T^t_{\sigma,\omega}(M).$$

Proof. We may suppose that every A_k is an open subspace of X_k, otherwise, replace A_k by the open subspace of X_k of which A_k is a deformation retract.

Construct chain complex $(C_*(M),d)$ as follows. Let (U_k,d_k) be the chain complex such that $U_k=\mathfrak{k}_k\oplus\mathfrak{i}_k\oplus\mathfrak{c}_k\oplus\mathfrak{q}_k$, $d_k(x)=0$ for all $x\in\mathfrak{k}_k\oplus\mathfrak{i}_k\oplus\mathfrak{c}_k$ and $d_k\colon\mathfrak{q}_k\to\mathfrak{k}_k$ is an isomorphism with degree lowered by 1 if $\mathfrak{k}_k\neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{q}_k=0$ if $\mathfrak{k}_k=0$. Let (S_k,d_k) be the chain subcomplex of (U_k,d_k) such that $S_k=\mathfrak{k}_k\oplus\mathfrak{i}_k$. For a simplex $\sigma\in K$, $(C_*(\sigma),d)=(V_1\otimes\cdots\otimes V_m,d_1\otimes\cdots\otimes d_m)$, where $V_k=U_k$ if $k\in\sigma$ and $V_k=S_k$ if $k\notin\sigma$. Then for $\sigma\subset\sigma'$, $(C_*(\sigma),d)$ is a chain subcomplex of $(C_*(\sigma'),d)$ and for all $\sigma,\tau\in K$, $C_*(\sigma)\cap C_*(\tau)=C_*(\sigma\cap\tau)$. Define $(C_*(M),d)$ to be the chain complex such that $C_*(M)=+_{\sigma\in K}C_*(\sigma)$ (not direct sum $\oplus$!).

Let $(S_*(X),d)$ be the singular chain complex over $\Bbbk$ of the topological space X. Take a fixed representative in $S_*(A_k)$ for all homology classes in a base of $\mathfrak{k}_k,\mathfrak{i}_k$, a fixed representative in $S_*(X_k)$ for all homology classes in a base of $\mathfrak{c}_k$ and a base in $S_*(X_k)$ for $\mathfrak{q}_k$ such that for every base element $y\in\mathfrak{q}_k$, dy is the representative for the base element d_ky. Then we may regard (S_k,d_k) and (U_k,d_k) respectively as chain subcomplex of $(S_*(A_k),d)$ and $(S_*(X_k),d)$. The two inclusion homomorphisms of chain complexes are homotopy equivalences and so induce homology isomorphism.

Now we prove $H_*(C_*(M),d)=H_*(M)$. We use double induction on the dimension and the number of maximal simplices (a maximal simplex is not the proper face of any simplex) of K. If $\mathrm{dim}K=-1$, the conclusion is trivial. If K has only one maximal simplex σ, i.e., $K=2^\sigma$, then there is a chain complex homotopy equivalence

$$i_M\colon(C_*(M),d)=(C_*(\sigma),d)\simeq(S_*(Y_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes S_*(Y_m),d\otimes\cdots\otimes d)\simeq(S_*(Y_1\times\cdots\times Y_m),d)=(S_*(D(\sigma)),d),$$

where $D(\sigma)$ is as defined in Definition 1.1, the first chain homotopy equivalence is the inclusion homomorphism and the second is the Eilenberg-Zilber chain homotopy equivalence. Suppose there is a chain homotopy equivalence $i_M\colon(C_*(M),d)\to(S_*(M),d)$ for all moment-angle complex $M=\mathcal{Z}_K(X,A)$ such that K has $\leqslant u$ maximal simplices and that $\mathrm{dim}K\leqslant v$. Then for K with maximal simplices $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_{u+1}$ and $\mathrm{dim}K\leqslant v$, denote by K_1 the simplicial complex with maximal simplices $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_u$, $K_2=2^{\sigma_{u+1}}$ and $K_3=K_1\cap K_2$. Denote $M_i=\mathcal{Z}_{K_i}(X,A)$. Then by induction hypothesis, there is a homotopy equivalence $i_{M_k}\colon(C_*(M_k),d)\to(S_*(M_k),d)$ for $k=1,2,3$. From the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C_*(M_3) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i_{M_3}"'] & C_*(M_1)\oplus C_*(M_2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i_{M_1}\oplus i_{M_2}"'] & C_*(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i'_M"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & S_*(M_3) \arrow[r] & S_*(M_1)\oplus S_*(M_2) \arrow[r] & (S_*(M_1)\oplus S_*(M_2))/S_*(M_3) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

we get a homotopy equivalence i'_M. By excision axiom, there is a homotopy equivalence $j\colon (S_*(M),d) \to ((S_*(M_1)\oplus S_*(M_2))/S_*(M_3),\widetilde{d})$ and so $i_M = j^{-1}i'_M$ is a homotopy equivalence. For K with maximal simplices $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_u$ and $\dim K = v{+}1$, we may suppose $|\sigma_u| = v{+}1$. Denote by K_1 the simplicial complex with maximal simplices $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_{u-1}$, $K_2 = 2^{\sigma_u}$ and $K_3 = K_1{\cap}K_2$. Then K_1,K_2,K_3 all satisfy the induction hypothesis and the homotopy equivalence i_M exists by the same induction proof as above. Thus, $H_*(C_*(M),d) = H_*(M)$ for all M.

Let $(C_*(\widetilde{X}),d) = (U_1{\otimes}\cdots{\otimes}U_m, d_1{\otimes}\cdots{\otimes}d_m)$ and denote the element of $C_*(\widetilde{X})$ by $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\cdots,a_m)$ with every $a_k \in U_k$. For $(a_1,\cdots,a_m) \in C_*(\widetilde{X})$, define

$$\rho(\mathbf{a}) = \{k\,|\,k\in\mathfrak{c}_k\},\quad \rho^*(\mathbf{a}) = \{k\,|\,k\in\mathfrak{i}_k\},\quad \varrho(\mathbf{a}) = \{k\,|\,a_k\in\mathfrak{k}_k \text{ or } \mathfrak{q}_k\}$$

and $C_*^{\sigma,\widetilde{\sigma}}(\widetilde{X}) = \{\mathbf{a}\in C_*(\widetilde{X})\,|\,\rho(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma,\ \rho^*(\mathbf{a}) = \widetilde{\sigma}\}$.

For $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$, $C_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M) = \{\mathbf{a}\in C_*(M)\,|\,\rho(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma,\ \varrho(\mathbf{a}) = \omega\}$ is a chain subcomplex of $(C_*(M),d)$ such that $(C_*(M),d) = \oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}(C_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M),d)$. Let $(\widetilde{C}_*(K_{\sigma,\omega}),d)$ be the augmented simplicial chain complex over $\Bbbk$ of $K_{\sigma,\omega}$. Then there is a chain complex isomorphism $\psi\colon (C_{*+1}^{\sigma,\omega}(M),d) \to (\widetilde{C}_*(K_{\sigma,\omega}),d){\otimes}T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$ defined as follows. For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\cdots,a_m)\in C_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$, let $\widetilde{\mathbf{a}} = (b_1,\cdots,b_m)$, where $b_k = a_k$ if $a_k\notin\mathfrak{q}_k$ and $b_k = d_k(a_k)$ if $a_k\in\mathfrak{q}_k$. Then $\psi(\mathbf{a}) = \eta{\otimes}\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}$ with $\eta = \{k\,|\,a_k\in\mathfrak{q}_k\}$. So $H_{*+1}(C_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M),d) = \widetilde{H}_*(K_{\sigma,\omega}){\otimes}T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$.

Dually, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(M) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M),\Bbbk) = \oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(H_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M),\Bbbk) = \oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H^*_{\sigma,\omega}(M),\\ H^{k+1}_{\sigma,\omega}(M) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(H_{k+1}^{\sigma,\omega}(M),\Bbbk) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\oplus_{s+t=k}H_s(K_{\sigma,\omega}){\otimes}T_t^{\sigma,\omega}(M),\Bbbk) = \oplus_{s+t=k}H^s(K_{\sigma,\omega}){\otimes}T^t_{\sigma,\omega}(M). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.4 *Let K be a simplicial complex with vertex set a subset of $[m]$. The Alexander dual of K relative to $[m]$ is the simplicial complex $K^* = \{\overline{\sigma}\,|\,\sigma\in K^c\}$, where $K^c = 2^{[m]}\backslash K$ and $\overline{\sigma} = [m]\backslash\sigma$. It is obvious that $(K^*)^* = K$.*

By the above definition, the Alexander dual of $\{\phi\}$ relative to $[m]$ is $2^{[m]}\backslash\{[m]\}$, the Alexander dual of $\{\}$ relative to $[m]$ is $2^{[m]}$. $\mathcal{Z}_{\{\}}(X,A) = \phi$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\{\phi\}}(X,A) = A_1{\times}\cdots{\times}A_m$.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X,A)$ be the topological space in Definition 1.1 (may not be a generalized moment-angle complex). Denote the topological space $M^c = \mathcal{Z}_{K^*}(X,B)$, $(X,B) = \{(X_k,B_k)\}_{k=1}^m$, where $B_k = X_k\backslash A_k$ and K^* is as in Definition 1.4. Let $\widetilde{X} = X_1{\times}\cdots{\times}X_m$. Then $M^c = \widetilde{X}\backslash M$.*

Proof. For a subset σ of $[m]$, 2^σ has one maximal simplex σ. If $\sigma = \{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}$, then $(2^\sigma)^*$ has $s{+}1$ maximal simplices $\overline{i_0},\cdots,\overline{i_s}$, where $\overline{i} = [m]\backslash\{i\}$. So $\widetilde{X}\backslash D(\sigma) = \cup_{i\in\sigma}D^*(\overline{i})$, where D^* is defined by that

$$D^*(\omega) = Z_1{\times}\cdots{\times}Z_n,\quad Z_i = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } i\in\omega,\\ B_i & \text{if } i\notin\omega. \end{cases}$$

This implies that when $K = 2^\sigma$, $\widetilde{X}\backslash\mathcal{Z}_K(X,A) = \mathcal{Z}_{K^*}(X,B)$. It is easy to check that for any two simplicial complexes K and L, $(K\cup L)^* = K^*\cap L^*$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{K^*\cap L^*}(X,B) = \mathcal{Z}_{K^*}(X,B)\cap\mathcal{Z}_{L^*}(X,B)$. So for any simplicial complex K,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Z}_{K^*}(X,B)\\ =\ &\mathcal{Z}_{(\cup_{\sigma\in K}2^\sigma)^*}(X,B) = \mathcal{Z}_{\cap_{\sigma\in K}(2^\sigma)^*}(X,B) = \cap_{\sigma\in K}\mathcal{Z}_{(2^\sigma)^*}(X,B)\\ =\ &\cap_{\sigma\in K}\big(\widetilde{X}\backslash\mathcal{Z}_{2^\sigma}(X,A)\big) = \cap_{\sigma\in K}\big(\widetilde{X}\backslash D(\sigma)\big) = \widetilde{X}\backslash\big(\cup_{\sigma\in K}D(\sigma)\big)\\ =\ &\widetilde{X}\backslash\mathcal{Z}_K(X,A). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1.6 *Let $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X,A)$, $(X,A) = \{(X_k,A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ be a generalized moment-angle complex satisfying the following conditions.*

1) Every X_k is a closed orientable manifold (with respect to homology over $\Bbbk$) of dimension r_k.

2) Every A_k is a polyhedron subspace of X_k that is the deformation retract of a neighborhood.

Then $M^c = \mathcal{Z}_{K^*}(X,B)$ as in Definition 1.5 is also a generalized moment-angle complex and for any $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$ such that $\omega\neq\phi$, there are group isomorphisms

$$\zeta_{\sigma,\omega}\colon H_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)\cong H^{r-*-1}_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}(M^c),\quad \zeta^*_{\sigma,\omega}\colon H^*_{\sigma,\omega}(M)\cong H^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_{r-*-1}(M^c),$$

where $r = \Sigma_{k=1}^m r_k$, $\widetilde{\sigma} = [m]\setminus(\sigma{\cup}\omega)$, $\zeta^*_{\sigma,\omega}$ is the dual map of $\zeta_{\sigma,\omega}$.

Precisely, the isomorphism $\zeta_{\sigma,\omega}$ *is defined as follows. For* $\omega\neq\phi$*, we have an isomorphism* $\overline{\zeta}_{\sigma,\omega}$ *that satisfies the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{H}_{s-1}(K_{\sigma,\omega}) \arrow[r, "\partial^{-1}_{\sigma,\omega}"] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\zeta}_{\sigma,\omega}"'] & H_s(2^\omega,K_{\sigma,\omega}) \arrow[d, "c_{\sigma,\omega}"'] \\
 & \widetilde{H}^{t-s}(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where t*,* $c_{\sigma,\omega}$ *and* $\partial_{\sigma,\omega}$ *are defined in the proof of the theorem. For* $x_k\in\mathfrak{k}_k$*, denote* $\widetilde{x}_k=\gamma_k(\partial_k^{-1}(x_k))$*, where* $\partial_k\colon H_{*+1}(X_k,A_k)\to H_*(A_k)$ *is the connecting homomorphism and* $\gamma_k\colon H_*(X_k,A_k)\to H^{r_k-*}(B_k)$ *is the Alexander duality isomorphism. For* $x_k\in\mathfrak{i}_k$ *or* $\mathfrak{c}_k$*, denote* $\widetilde{x}_k=\gamma_k(x_k)$ *with* $\gamma_k\colon H_*(X_k)\to H^{r_k-*}(X_k)$ *the Poncaré duality isomorphism. Then for* $a\otimes(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\in H^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M)$ *with* $a\in H_*(K_{\sigma,\omega})$ *and* $(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\in T^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M)$*,* $\zeta_{\sigma,\omega}\big(a\otimes(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\big)=\overline{\zeta}_{\sigma,\omega}(a)\otimes(\widetilde{x}_1,\cdots,\widetilde{x}_m)$*.*

Proof. Let everything be as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 and define $(C_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)=(C_*(\widetilde{X})/C_*(M),\widetilde{d})$.

For $\eta\in K^*$, define $(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)=(W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_m,d_1\otimes\cdots\otimes d_m)$, where $(W_i,d_i)=(U_i,d_i)$ if $i\in\eta$ and $(W_i,d_i)=(U_i/S_i,\widetilde{d}_k)$ if $i\notin\eta$. We prove that $(C_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)=+_{\eta\in K^*}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)$.

For $\sigma=\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}$, $(2^\sigma)^*$ has $s{+}1$ maximal simplices $\overline{i_0},\cdots,\overline{i_s}$ and so $C_*(\widetilde{X})/C_*(\sigma)=+_{i\in\sigma}\widetilde{C}_*(\overline{i})$. This implies that $(C_*(\widetilde{X})/C_*(M_\sigma),\widetilde{d})=+_{\eta\in(2^\sigma)^*}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)$, where $M_\sigma=\mathcal{Z}_{2^\sigma}(X,A)$. So for K,

$$\begin{aligned}
&+_{\eta\in K^*}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)\\
&=+_{\eta\in(\cup_{\sigma\in K}2^\sigma)^*}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)=+_{\eta\in(\cap_{\sigma\in K}(2^\sigma)^*)}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)=\cap_{\sigma\in K}\big(+_{\eta\in(2^\sigma)^*}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)\big)\\
&=\cap_{\sigma\in K}\big(C_*(\widetilde{X})/C_*(M_\sigma),\widetilde{d}\big)=\cap_{\sigma\in K}\big(C_*(\widetilde{X})/C_*(\sigma),\widetilde{d}\big)=\big(C_*(\widetilde{X})/(+_{\sigma\in K}C_*(\sigma)),\widetilde{d}\big)\\
&=\big(C_*(\widetilde{X})/C_*(M),\widetilde{d}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

So $(C_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)=+_{\eta\in K^*}(\widetilde{C}_*(\eta),d)$. For $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}=[m]\backslash(\sigma\cup\omega)$, define $C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)=\{\mathbf{a}\in C_*(\widetilde{X},M)\,|\,\rho^*(\mathbf{a}){=}\widetilde{\sigma},\ \varrho(\mathbf{a}){=}\omega\}$ and $T^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)=\{\mathbf{a}{=}(a_1,\cdots,a_m)\in C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)\,|\,a_k\in\mathfrak{q}_k \text{ for all } k\in\varrho(\mathbf{a})\}$. Then $(C_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}(C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)$ and so for every $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$, $(C^{\sigma,\widetilde{\sigma}}_*(\widetilde{X})/C^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M),d)=(C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)$.

Let $(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*$ be the Alexander dual of $K_{\sigma,\omega}$ relative to ω and K^* be the Alexander dual of K relative to $[m]$. For $\eta\in(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*$, i.e., $\eta\subset\omega$ and $\omega\backslash\eta\notin K_{\sigma,\omega}$, i.e., $\eta\subset\omega$ and $\sigma\cup(\omega\backslash\eta)\notin K$, we have $[m]\setminus(\sigma\cup(\omega\backslash\eta))=\widetilde{\sigma}\cup\eta\in K^*$, i.e., $\eta\in(K^*)_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}$. So $(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*\subset(K^*)_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}$. Conversely, for $\eta\in(K^*)_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}$, i.e., $\eta\subset\omega$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}\cup\eta\in K^*$, we have $[m]\setminus(\widetilde{\sigma}\cup\eta)=\sigma\cup(\omega\backslash\eta)\notin K$, i.e., $\omega\backslash\eta\notin K_{\sigma,\omega}$, $\eta\in(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*$. So $(K^*)_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}\subset(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*$. Thus, $(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*=(K^*)_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}$ and we may denote $K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}=(K_{\sigma,\omega})^*=(K^*)_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}$. The correspondence $\eta\to\omega\backslash\eta$ induces a dual complex isomorphism $(C_*(2^\omega,K_{\sigma,\omega}),d)\cong(\widetilde{C}^{t-*}(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}),\delta)$, where t is the cardinality of ω. Denote the corresponding induced homology isomorphism by $c_{\sigma,\omega}\colon H_*(2^\omega,K_{\sigma,\omega})\cong\widetilde{H}^{t-*}(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega})$

There is a dual complex isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}\colon(C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_{*+1}(\widetilde{X},M),d)\to(\widetilde{C}^*(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}),\delta)\oslash T^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)$ $((A_*\oslash B_*)_k=\oplus_{t-s=k}A_s\otimes B_t)$ defined as follows. For $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\cdots,a_m)\in C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)$, let $\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}=(b_1,\cdots,b_m)$, where $b_k=a_k$ if $a_k\notin\mathfrak{k}_k$ and $d_k(b_k)=a_k$ if $a_k\in\mathfrak{k}_k$. Then $\widetilde{\psi}(\mathbf{a})=\eta\oslash\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}$ with $\eta=\{k\,|\,a_k\in\mathfrak{k}_k\}$. So $H^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_{*+1}(\widetilde{X},M)=H_{*+1}(C^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M),d)=\widetilde{H}^*(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega})\oslash T^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)$.

Thus, the long exact sequence

$$\cdots\to\ H_n(C_*(M),d)\ \xrightarrow{i}\ H_n(C_*(\widetilde{X}),d)\ \xrightarrow{j}\ H_n(C_*(\widetilde{X},M),\widetilde{d})\ \xrightarrow{\partial}\ H_{n-1}(C_*(M),d)\ \to\cdots$$

satisfies that $\mathrm{ker}i=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M,\omega\neq\phi}H^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M)$, $\mathrm{coker}j=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M,\omega\neq\phi}H^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X},M)$ and the long exact sequence is the direct sum of the following diagram for all $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$ and base element $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\cdots,a_m)\in T^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M)$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \widetilde{H}_s(K_{\sigma,\omega})\otimes\mathbf{a} \arrow[r] & \widetilde{H}_s(2^\omega)\otimes\mathbf{a} \arrow[r] & H_s(2^\omega,K_{\sigma,\omega})\otimes\mathbf{a} \arrow[r, "\partial_{\sigma,\omega}"] \arrow[d, "c_{\sigma,\omega}"', "\wr"] & \widetilde{H}_{s-1}(K_{\sigma,\omega})\otimes\mathbf{a} \arrow[r] & \cdots \\
 & & & \widetilde{H}^{t-s}(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega})\oslash\mathbf{a}' \arrow[ur, "\partial'_{\sigma,\omega}"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_1, \cdots, a'_m)$ with $d_k(a'_k) = a_k$ if $a_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k$ and $a'_k = a_k$ otherwise. When $\omega \neq \phi$, $\widetilde{H}_*(2^\omega) = 0$. We have an isomorphism $\partial'_{\sigma,\omega} \colon H^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X}, M) \to H^{\sigma,\omega}_{*-1}(M)$.

The Alexander duality isomorphism $\gamma \colon H_*(\widetilde{X}, M) \cong H^{r-*}(M^c)$ is also the direct sum of isomorphisms $\gamma_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega} \colon H^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X}, M) \cong H^{r-*}_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}(M^c)$ for all $(\sigma, \omega) \in I_M$. From the following commutative diagram for $Y_k = B_k$ or ϕ,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_*(X_1, X_1\backslash Y_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes H_*(X_m, X_m\backslash Y_m) \arrow[r, "\gamma_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\gamma_m"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H^{r_1-*}(Y_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes H^{r_m-*}(Y_m) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{X} \setminus Y_1\times\cdots\times Y_m) \arrow[r, "\gamma"] & H^{r_1-*}(Y_1\times\cdots\times Y_m)
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have $\gamma_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}\big(a\otimes(x_1, \cdots, x_m)\big) = a\otimes(\gamma_1(x_1), \cdots, \gamma_m(x_m))$ for all $a \in H^*(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega})$ and $(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in T^{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}_*(\widetilde{X}, M)$, where γ_k is as defined in the theorem. So $\zeta_{\sigma,\omega} = \gamma^{-1}_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega}(\partial'_{\sigma,\omega})^{-1}$ is just the isomorphism of the theorem. $\square$

Example 1.7 For $k \leqslant r$, the standard inclusion of the sphere $S^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ induces an inclusion map $\theta_k \colon S^k \to S^{r+1}$, where we regard S^{r+1} as one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$. S^{r-k} is the deformation retract of the complement space $S^{r+1}\backslash\theta_k(S^k)$. For $k_i \leqslant r_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, let $M = \mathcal{Z}_K\begin{pmatrix} r_1+1 & \cdots & r_m+1 \\ k_1 & \cdots & k_m \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$ be the generalized moment-angle complex with every space pair $(X_i, A_i) = (S^{r_i+1}, S^{k_i})$ and the inclusion $\theta_{k_i} \colon S^{k_i} \to S^{r_i+1}$ as defined above. Then M^c is homotopic equivalent to $\mathcal{Z}_{K^*}\begin{pmatrix} r_1+1 & \cdots & r_m+1 \\ r_1-k_1 & \cdots & r_m-k_m \end{pmatrix}$ and we have $I_M = \{(\sigma, \omega) \,|\, \sigma \in K, \sigma\cap\omega = \phi\}$ and $I_{M^c} = \{(\sigma', \omega) \,|\, \sigma' \in K^*, \sigma'\cap\omega = \phi\}$. Since all the graded groups $\mathfrak{k}_k, \mathfrak{c}_k, \mathfrak{i}_k$ are one dimensional, we use the degree to represent the unique generator of the group. Then by Theorem 1.3, for all $(\sigma, \omega) \in I_M$,

$$H^{\sigma,\omega}_{k+1}\Big(\mathcal{Z}_K\begin{pmatrix} r_1+1 & \cdots & r_m+1 \\ k_1 & \cdots & k_m \end{pmatrix}\Big) = \oplus_{s+n_1+\cdots n_m=k}\, \widetilde{H}_s(K_{\sigma,\omega})\otimes(n_1, \cdots, n_m),$$

where $n_i = r_i{+}1$ if $i \in \sigma$, $n_i = 0$ if $i \in \widetilde{\sigma}$, $n_i = k_i$ if $i \in \omega$ and for all $(\sigma', \omega) \in I_{M^c}$

$$H^{\sigma',\omega}_{k+1}\Big(\mathcal{Z}_{K^*}\begin{pmatrix} r_1+1 & \cdots & r_m+1 \\ r_1-k_1 & \cdots & r_m-k_m \end{pmatrix}\Big) = \oplus_{s+n'_1+\cdots+n'_m=k}\, \widetilde{H}_s(K^*_{\sigma',\omega})\otimes(n'_1, \cdots, n'_m),$$

where $n'_i = r_i{+}1$ if $i \in \sigma'$, $n'_i = 0$ if $i \in \widetilde{\sigma}'$, $n'_i = r_i{-}k_i$ if $i \in \omega$. Take $\sigma' = \widetilde{\sigma}$. Notice that $H_s(K_{\sigma,\omega}) \cong H^{t-s-1}(K^*_{\widetilde{\sigma},\omega})$, where t is the cardinality of ω. The homology and cohomology groups satisfy Theorem 1.6.

2. The homology and cohomology of homotopy open covers

We have computed the (co)homology group of a generalized moment-angle complex, but the coalgebra structure of the homology group (equivalently, the algebra structure of the cohomology group) is not computed. To determine the coalgebra structure, we have to use spectral sequence.

Definition 2.1 *Let M be a topological space. A homotopy open cover $\mathfrak{C}$ of M is a sequence of topological subspaces $\mathfrak{C} = (M_1, \cdots, M_n)$ such that every M_k is a deformation retract of an open subspace M'_k of M and that for all $1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leqslant n$, $M_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap M_{i_s}$ is a deformation retract of $M'_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap M'_{i_s}$ and that $M = \cup_{i=1}^n M'_i$. The cover $\mathfrak{C}$ is always regarded as an ordered set of symbols, but not a set of subspaces. So $M_i = M_j$ as subspaces for $i \neq j$ is allowed in the sequence. $M_i = \phi$ is also allowed.*

Definition 2.2 *Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M_1, \cdots, M_n)$ be a homotopy open cover of M. The chain complex $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d)$ is defined as follows. For $\mu = \{i_0, \cdots, i_s\} \in 2^{[n]}\backslash\{\phi\}$, define $M_\mu = M_{i_0}\cap\cdots\cap M_{i_s}$ and $|\mu| = s$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M) = \oplus_{s,t\geqslant 0}\mathfrak{C}_{s,t}(M), \quad \mathfrak{C}_{s,t}(M) = \oplus_{\mu\subset[n],|\mu|=s}\,\{\mu\}\otimes H_t(M_\mu),$$

where $\{\mu\}$ denotes the 1-dimensional space generated by μ. For $\mu \subset \nu$, $i_{\nu,\mu} \colon H_*(M_\nu) \to H_*(M_\mu)$ is the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map from M_ν to M_μ. Then $d \colon \mathfrak{C}_{s,t}(M) \to \mathfrak{C}_{s-1,t}(M)$ is defined by

$$d(\{i_0, \cdots, i_s\}\otimes a) = \Sigma_{k=0}^s(-1)^k\{i_0, \cdots, \widehat{i}_k, \cdots, i_s\}\otimes i_{\mu,\mu_k}(a), \quad d(\{i_0\}\otimes a) = 0,$$

where $\mu = \{i_0, \cdots, i_s\}$, $i_0 < \cdots < i_s$, $\mu_k = \{i_0, \cdots, \widehat{i}_k, \cdots, i_s\}$ and $a \in H_*(M_\mu)$.

$(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d)$ has a coproduct $\Delta \colon (\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d) \to (\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)\otimes\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d\otimes d)$ defined as follows. For ordered subset $\mu = \{i_0, \cdots, i_s\}$, $\mu'_k = \{i_0, \cdots, i_k\}$, $\mu''_k = \{i_k, \cdots, i_s\}$ and $a \in H_{|a|}(M_\mu)$ such that $\Delta_\mu(a) = \Sigma_j a'_j\otimes a''_j$, where Δ_μ is the coproduct of the homology coalgebra $H_*(M_\mu)$,

$$\Delta(\{i_0, \cdots, i_s\}\otimes a) = \Sigma_j\Sigma_{k=0}^s\,(-1)^{(s-k)|a'_j|}\{i_0, \cdots, i_k\}\otimes i_{\mu,\mu'_k}(a'_j) \otimes \{i_k, \cdots, i_s\}\otimes i_{\mu,\mu''_k}(a''_j).$$

It is easy to check that $(d\otimes d)\Delta=\Delta d$ *and so the homology* $H_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M),d)$ *is a coalgebra over* $\Bbbk$.

Dually, the cochain complex $(\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M),\delta)$ *is defined as follows.*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M)=\oplus_{s,t\geqslant 0}\mathfrak{C}^{s,t}(M),\quad \mathfrak{C}^{s,t}(M)=\oplus_{\mu\subset[n],|\mu|=s}\{\mu\}\otimes H^*(M_\mu).$$

For $\mu\subset\nu$, $i^*_{\nu,\mu}\colon H^*(M_\mu)\to H^*(M_\nu)$ *is the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map from* M_ν *to* M_μ. *Then* $\delta\colon \mathfrak{C}^{s,t}(M)\to\mathfrak{C}^{s+1,t}(M)$ *is defined by that for ordered set* $\mu=\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}$,

$$\delta(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes a)=\Sigma_k(-1)^{u+1}\{i_0,\cdots,i_u,k,i_{u+1}\cdots,i_s\}\otimes i^*_{\mu_k,\mu}(a),\quad \delta([n]\otimes a)=0,$$

where the sum is taken over all ordered set $\mu_k=\{i_0,\cdots,i_u,k,i_{u+1},\cdots,i_s\}$.

$(\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M),\delta)$ *has a product* $\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M)\otimes\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M)\to\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M)$ *defined as follows.* $(\mu\otimes a)(\nu\otimes b)=0$ *except that* $\mu=\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}$, $\nu=\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}$, $\lambda=\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}$, $i_0<\cdots<i_s$,

$$(\mu\otimes a)(\nu\otimes b)=(-1)^{|a||\nu|}\lambda\otimes\langle i^*_{\lambda,\mu}(a),i^*_{\lambda,\nu}(b)\rangle_\lambda,$$

where $\langle\,,\rangle_\lambda$ *is the product of the cohomology algebra* $H^*(M_\lambda)$. *The cohomology* $H^{*,*}(\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M),\delta)$ *is an algebra over* $\Bbbk$.

Theorem 2.3 *Let everything be as in Definition 2.2. There is a spectral sequence* $(\mathfrak{C}^r_{s,t}(M),d_r)$ *converging to* $H_*(M)$ *such that every* $(\mathfrak{C}^r_{*,*}(M),d_r)$ *is a differential graded coalgebra and there is a differential graded coalgebra isomorphism*

$$(\mathfrak{C}^1_{*,*}(M),d_1)\cong(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M),d).$$

Dually, there is a spectral sequence $(\mathfrak{C}^{s,t}_r(M),\delta^r)$ *converging to* $H^*(M)$ *such that every* $(\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}_r(M),\delta^r)$ *is a differential graded algebra and there is a differential graded algebra isomorphism*

$$(\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}_1(M),\delta^1)\cong(\mathfrak{C}^{*,*}(M),\delta).$$

Proof. We only prove the homology case, the cohomology case is just the dual proof. We may suppose that $M_1,\cdots,M_n$ are all open subspaces of M.

Define double complex $(U_{*,*},D)$ as follows.

$$U_{*,*}=\oplus_{s,t\geqslant 0}U_{s,t},\quad U_{s,t}=\oplus_{\mu\subset[n],|\mu|=s}\{\mu\}\otimes S_t(M_\mu),$$

where $S_t(M_\mu)$ is the singular chain group over $\Bbbk$ freely generated by all singular t-simplices of M_μ, $\{\mu\}$ and M_μ are as defined in Definition 2.2. $D\colon T_{s,t}\to T_{s-1,t}\oplus T_{s,t-1}$ is defined by that for ordered set $\mu=\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\subset[n]$ and singular t-simplex $\varpi\in S_t(M_\mu)$,

$$D(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi)=\Sigma_{k=0}^s(-1)^k\{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i}_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi+(-1)^s\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes d(\varpi),$$

where d is the differential of the singular chain complex $(S_*(M_\mu),d)$.

From the horizontal filtration $F_n=\oplus_{t\leqslant n}U_{*,t}$ of $(U_{*,*},D)$, we get a spectral sequence $(F^r_{s,t},d_r)$ converging to $H_*(U_{*,*},D)$. For a singular t-simplex $\varpi\in S_t(M_1)+\cdots+S_t(M_m)$, there is a unique subset $\lambda\subset[n]$ such that ϖ is a singular t-simplex of $S_t(M_i)$ if and only if $i\in\lambda$. Let $C(\varpi)$ be the subgroup of $U_{*,*}$ generated by all $\mu\otimes\varpi$ with $\mu\subset\lambda$. Then $F^1_{*,*}=\oplus_\varpi H_*(C_*(\varpi),d_1)$, where ϖ is taken over all singular simplices of $S_*(M_1)+\cdots+S_*(M_m)$ and the differential is defined by

$$d_1(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi)=\Sigma_{k=0}^s(-1)^k\{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i}_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi.$$

It is obvious that $(C_*(\varpi),d_1)\cong(C_*(2^\lambda),d)$, where $(C_*(2^\lambda),d)$ is the simplicial chain complex over $\Bbbk$ of the full simplicial complex 2^λ and so $H_0(C_*(\varpi),d_1)=H_0(2^\lambda)=\Bbbk$ and $H_k(C_*(\varpi),d_1)=H_k(2^\lambda)=0$ if $k\neq 0$. The generator of $H_0(C_*(\varpi),d_1)$ is represented by $\{i\}\otimes\varpi$ for any $i\in\lambda$, i.e., $\{i\}\otimes\varpi$ and $\{j\}\otimes\varpi$ represent the same homology class in $F^1_{*,*}$ for all $i,j\in\lambda$. This implies that the correspondence $\{i\}\otimes\varpi\to\varpi$ induces a chain complex isomorphism

$$(F^1_{*,*},d_1)=(F^1_{0,*},d_1)\cong(S_*(M_1)+\cdots+S_*(M_m),d).$$

Since $d_2\colon F^2_{s,t}\to F^2_{s+1,t-2}$, the spectral sequence collapse from $r\geqslant 2$ and $H_{0,*}(F^1_{*,*},d_1)\cong H_*(U_{*,*},D)$. By excision axiom, $(S_*(M_1)+\cdots+S_*(M_m),d)$ is homotopic equivalent to the singular chain complex $(S_*(M),d)$. So $H_{0,*}(F^1_{*,*},d_1)\cong H_*(M)$.

From the vertical filtration $E_n=\oplus_{s\leqslant n}U_{s,*}$ of $(U_{*,*},D)$, we get a spectral sequence $(E^r_{s,t},d_r)$converging to $H_*(U_{*,*},D)=H_*(M)$. Define $(E^r_{s,t},d_r)=(\mathfrak{C}^r_{s,t}(M),d_r)$. By definition, $(\mathfrak{C}^1_{*,*}(M),d_1)=(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M),d)$.

Define coproduct $\widetilde{\Delta}\colon(U_{*,*},D)\to(U_{*,*},D)\otimes(U_{*,*},D)$ as follows. For a singular simplex $\varpi\in S_t(M_\mu)$ with $\mu=\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}$ and $\Delta_\mu(\varpi)=\varpi'\otimes\varpi''$ (abbreviation of $\Sigma_j\varpi'_j\otimes\varpi''_j$), where $\Delta_\mu\colon S_*(M_\mu)\to S_*(M_\mu)\otimes S_*(M_\mu)$ is the homomorphism of singular chain groups induced by the diagonal map of M_μ, define

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi)=\Sigma_{k=0}^s(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(D\otimes D)(\widetilde{\Delta}(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi))\\
=\ &(D\otimes D)((-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi'')\\
=\ &\ \Sigma_{k=0}^{s}\Sigma_{u=0}^{k}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+u}\{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i}_u,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+k}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes d\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s}\Sigma_{u=0}^{s-k}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+k+|\varpi'|+u}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,\widehat{i}_{k+u},\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+s+|\varpi'|}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes d\varpi''\\
=\ &\ \Sigma_{k=0}^{s}\Sigma_{u=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+u}\{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i}_u,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s}\Sigma_{u=1}^{s-k}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+k+|\varpi'|+u}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,\widehat{i}_{k+u},\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+k}\{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i}_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=1}^{s}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+k+|\varpi'|}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\varpi''\otimes\{\widehat{i}_k,\cdots,i_s\}\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s}(-1)^{(s-k)(|\varpi'|-1)+s}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes d\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi''\\
&+\Sigma_{k=0}^{s}(-1)^{(s-k)|\varpi'|+s+|\varpi'|}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\varpi'\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes d\varpi''\\
=\ &\widetilde{\Delta}(\Sigma_{u=0}^{s}(-1)^{u}\{i_0,\cdots,\widehat{i}_u,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi)+\widetilde{\Delta}((-1)^{s}\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes d\varpi)\\
=\ &\widetilde{\Delta}(D(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\varpi))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\widetilde{\Delta}$ induces spectral sequence homomorphism $\widetilde{\Delta}_r\colon(E^r_{*,*},d_r)\to(E^r_{*,*}\otimes E^r_{*,*},d_r\otimes d_r)$. By Künneth Theorem, $H_*(U_{*,*}\otimes U_{*,*},D\otimes D)\cong H_*(M)\otimes H_*(M)\cong H_*(M\times M)$.

$\widetilde{\Delta}$ also induces a spectral sequence homomorphism $\overline{\Delta}_r\colon(F^r_{*,*},d_r)\to(F^r_{*,*}\otimes F^r_{*,*},d_r\otimes d_r)$. By definition, for a homology class in $F^1_{0,*}$ represented in $U_{*,*}$ by $\{i\}\otimes[\varpi]$ such that $\Delta_i(\lambda)=\lambda'\otimes\lambda''$ (Δ_i is the coproduct of $H_*(M_i)$), $\overline{\Delta}_1(\{i\}\otimes\lambda)=\{i\}\otimes\lambda'\otimes\{i\}\otimes\lambda''$. This implies the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(F^1_{0,*},d_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Delta}_1"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & (F^1_{0,*}\otimes F^1_{0,*},d_1\otimes d_1) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
(S_*(M),d) \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & (S_*(M)\otimes S_*(M),d)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Δ is the homomorphism of singular chain groups induced by the diagonal map of M. Since the spectral sequence $F^r_{s,t}$ and $F^r_{s,t}\otimes F^r_{s,t}$ collapse from $r\geqslant 2$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_*(U_{*,*},D) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\Delta}_*"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H_*(U_{*,*}\otimes U_{*,*},D\otimes D) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(M) \arrow[r, "\Delta_*"] & H_*(M\times M).
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $H_*(U_{*,*},D)\cong H_*(S_*(M),d)$ is a coalgebra isomorphism. □

Notice that if we do not consider the (co)algebra strucure, the above theorem holds for (co)homology over an Abellian group G. But all other main conclusions (such as direct sum decomposition, generating set) in this paper can not be generalized to the case of an Abellian group G. So we only discuss field case.

Definition 2.4 *Let everything be as in Definition 2.2. For* $x=\mu\otimes a\in\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)$, *the support complex* $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x),d)$ *of* x *is the chain subcomplex of* $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M),d)$ *generated by all* $\lambda\otimes i_{\mu,\lambda}(a)$ *such that* $\lambda\subset\mu$. *Define* $\mathfrak{C}_x=\{\lambda\subset\mu\,|\,i_{\mu,\lambda}(a)=0\}$. *It is obvious that* $\mathfrak{C}_x$ *is a simplicial complex.*

Theorem 2.5 *Let everything be as in Definition 2.4. For* $x=\mu\otimes a\in\mathfrak{C}_{|\mu|,|a|}(M)$, *there is a chain complex isomorphism* $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,|a|}(x),d)=(C_*(2^\mu,\mathfrak{C}_x),d)$, *where* $C_*(2^\mu,\mathfrak{C}_x),d)$ *is the relative simplicial chain complex over* $\Bbbk$, *i.e., the quotient complex of* $(C_*(2^\mu),d)$ *over* $(C_*(\mathfrak{C}_x),d)$. *So we may define* $H_s(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x),d)=H_{s,|a|}(\mathfrak{C}_{*,|a|}(x),d)\cong H_s(2^\mu,\mathfrak{C}_x)\cong\widetilde{H}_{s-1}(\mathfrak{C}_x)$.

Proof. The correspondence $\lambda\otimes i_{\mu,\lambda}(a)\to\lambda$ for all $i_{\mu,\lambda}(a)\neq 0$ induces a chain complex isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x),d)$ to $(C_*(2^\mu,\mathfrak{C}_x),d)$. □

Definition 2.6 *Let* $\mathfrak{C}$ *be a homotopy open cover of* M. $\mathfrak{C}$ *is a simple cover (with respect to* $\Bbbk$*) if there is a subset* $T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ *of* $\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)$ *such that*

$$(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M),d)=\oplus_{\,x\in T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})}(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x),d)$$

and the spectral sequence $\mathfrak{C}^r_{s,t}(M)$ *defined in Theorem 2.3 collapse from* $r\geqslant 2$ *and so for all* k,

$$H_k(X)=\oplus_{s+t=k}\mathfrak{C}^2_{s,t}(M)=\oplus_{s+t=k}\left(\oplus_{\,x\in T_{*,t}(\mathfrak{C})}\ H_s(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x),d)\right).$$

$T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ *is called a generating set of* $\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)$.

3. Homology coalgebra and cohomology algebra

Definition 3.1 *Let K be a simplicial complex. A simplex cover of K is a sequence $\mathfrak{S} = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ of simplices (repetition and empty simplex allowed) of K that contains the set of maximal simplices of K, i.e., every simplex of K is the face of some σ_k.*

For a generalized moment-angle complex $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$, $(X, A) = \{(X_k, A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$, and a simplex cover $\mathfrak{S} = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ of K, the sequence $\mathfrak{C} = (M_1, \cdots, M_n)$ with $M_k = D(\sigma_k)$ ($D(\sigma_k)$ as defined in Definition 1.1) is the cover of M associated to $\mathfrak{S}$.

Definition 3.2 *Let $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$, $(X, A) = \{(X_k, A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$, be a generalized moment-angle complex. Then for a simplex cover $\mathfrak{S} = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ of K, the associated cover $\mathfrak{C} = (M_1, \cdots, M_n)$ is a homotopy open cover of M and by Definition 2.2, there is a chain complex $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d)$.*

The base $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ of $\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)$ is taken as follows. Take a fixed base for $\mathfrak{k}_k, \mathfrak{c}_k, \mathfrak{i}_k$ (as defined in Definition 1.2). By Künneth Theorem, for a space $Y = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m$ with $Y_k = A_k$ or X_k for every k, the base of $H_*(Y)$ is the set of all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ with every x_k a base elements of $H_*(Y_k)$, where we do not distinguish a base element in $\mathrm{coim} i_k$ *and its image in* $\mathrm{im} i_k$. *Then $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ is the set of all $\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ such that $\mu = \{i_0, \cdots, i_s\} \subset [n]$ and that $(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ is a base element of $H_*(M_\mu)$, where $M_\mu = D(\sigma_{i_0}) \cap \cdots \cap D(\sigma_{i_s}) = D(\cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j) = Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m$ with $Y_k = X_k$ if $k \in \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$ and $Y_k = A_k$ otherwise. Equivalently, $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ is the following set*

$$\{\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m) \,|\, \mu \subset [n],\, (x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in T_*(M),\, x_k \in \mathfrak{c}_k \cup \mathfrak{i}_k, \text{ if } k \in \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j,\, x_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k \cup \mathfrak{i}_k, \text{ if } k \notin \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j\},$$

where we use the same symbol to denote a vector space and its base and so $T_*(M) = \cup_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M} T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$ with $T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$ as defined in Definition 1.2.

Theorem 3.3 *Let everything be as in Definition 3.2. Give $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ a graded graph structure defined as follows. For $\mu \otimes \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$, its degree is $|\mu|$, the cardinality of μ minus 1. For $\mu \otimes \mathbf{x}, \nu \otimes \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ with μ a proper subset of ν, they are the two vertices of an edge if and only if $i_{\nu,\mu}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}$ and $|\mu| = |\nu| - 1$, where $i_{\nu,\mu}$ is as defined in Definition 2.2. Then the graded graph $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ is simple in the sense that every connected component of it has but one vertex with maximal degree which is called the top vertex of the component. So the connected component decomposition of the graph $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ corresponds to the direct sum decomposition of the chain complex $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d)$. Precisely, let $T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ be the set of all top vertices of $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$, then $\mathfrak{C}$ is a simple cover (as in Definition 2.6) of $\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$ with generating set $T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ and direct sum decomposition*

$$H_k(M) = \oplus_{s+t=k} H_{s,t}(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d) = \oplus_{s+t=k} \left(\oplus_{\, x \in T_{*,t}(\mathfrak{C})} \; H_s(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x), d) \right),$$

where $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x), d)$ is as defined in Definition 2.4 and $H_*(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x), d)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.5.

Proof. Notice that since we do not distinguish $\mathrm{coim} i_k$ and $\mathrm{im} i_k$, the homomorphism i_k restricted on coim is the identity isomorphism. So for $\lambda \otimes \mathbf{x}, \mu \otimes \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$, if $i_{\mu,\lambda}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}$, then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. This implies that all connected components of $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a graph with vertex set of the form $\{\mu_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}, \cdots, \mu_s \otimes \mathbf{x}\}$ with $\mathbf{x} \in T_*(M)$, i.e., the set of connected components of $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ is in 1-1 correspondence with $T_*(M)$. For a connected component with vertex set $\{\mu_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}, \cdots, \mu_s \otimes \mathbf{x}\}$, $x = (\cup_{i=1}^s \mu_i) \otimes \mathbf{x}$ is the unique top vertex of the component and the connected component chain complex is obviously $(C_{*,*}(x), d)$.

Now we prove the spectral sequence $E_{s,t}^r = \mathfrak{C}_{s,t}^r(M)$ as defined in Theorem 2.3 collapses from $r \geqslant 2$. Let $(U_{*,*}, D)$ and everything else be as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Then $U_{*,*}$ is generated by elements of the form $\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ such that $x_k \in S_*(X_k)$ if $k \in \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$ and $x_k \in S_*(A_k)$ if $k \notin \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$. Let $(C_*(M), d)$ be as defined in the proof of Theorem 1.3. We use the same symbol to denote both the homology class and a representative of it, both the space and a base of it. Then $(C_*(M), d)$ is a chain subcomplex of $(S_*(X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes S_*(X_m), d \otimes \cdots \otimes d)$ by taking representatives as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. So we may define double subcomplex $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}, D)$ of $(U_{*,*}, D)$ generated by all $\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ such that $x_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k \cup \mathfrak{i}_k \cup \mathfrak{c}_k \cup \mathfrak{q}_k$ if $k \in \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$ and $x_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k \cup \mathfrak{i}_k$ if $k \notin \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$. Then by Definition 3.2, $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ is a subgroup (not a subcomplex) of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}$ and $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}, D)$ is the smallest subcomplex of $(U_{*,*}, D)$ containing $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$.

Let $(Z_{*,*}, D)$ be the subcomplex of $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}, D)$ generated by all $\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ such that there is at least one $k \in \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$ such that $x_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k$ or $\mathfrak{q}_k$. Let $(Z'_{*,*}, D)$ be the subcomplex of $(Z_{*,*}, D)$ generated by all $\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ such that there is no $x_k \in \mathfrak{q}_k$. Let (F_n, D) be the subcomplex of $(Z_{*,*}(x), D)$ generated by all $\lambda \otimes \mathbf{y}$ such that $|\lambda| \leqslant n$. Then $\{F_n\}$ is a filtration that induces a spectral sequence $(F_{s,t}^r, d_r)$ converging to $H_*(Z_{*,*}, D)$. For $\mu \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in Z'_{*,*}$, define $(D(x_k), d'_k)$ to be the acylic chain complex such that $D(x_k)$ is generated by $\{x_k, x'_k\}$ with $d'_k(x'_k) = x_k$ if $x_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k$ and $k \in \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$ and define $(D(x_k), d'_k)$ to be the trivial chain complex with $D(x_k)$ generated by x_k if $x_k \notin \mathfrak{k}_k$ or $k \notin \cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$. By definition,

$(F^1_{*,*}, d_1) \cong \oplus_{\mu\otimes(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\in Z'_{*,*}}(D(x_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes D(x_m), d'_1\otimes\cdots\otimes d'_m)$ with the isomorphism induced by the correspondence $\mu\otimes\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}$. So $F^2_{*,*} = 0$ and $H_*(Z_{*,*}, D) = 0$. It is obvoious that the quotient complex of $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}, D)$ over $(Z_{*,*}, D)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d)$. So $H_*(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}, D) = H_*(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d)$. Since $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{*,*}, D)$ is a double subcomplex of $(U_{*,*}, D)$, all the homology classes of $H_*(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M), d) = \mathfrak{C}^2_{*,*}(M)$ survive to infinity in the spectral sequence. □

Definition 3.4 *Let $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X, A)$, $(X, A) = \{(X_k, A_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ be a generalized moment-angle complex and $\mathfrak{C}$ be a cover associated to the simplex cover $\mathfrak{S} = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ of K. For $(\sigma, \omega) \in I_M$ (I_M as in Definition 1.2), the simplicial complex $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ is the subset $[\sigma, \omega] = \{k \in [n] \,|\, \sigma \subset \sigma_k,\ \sigma_k \cap \omega \neq \phi\}$ and $\{i_0, \cdots, i_s\} \subset [\sigma, \omega]$ is a simplex of $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ if and only if $(\cap_{j=0}^s \sigma_{i_j}) \cap \omega \in K$.*

For $x = \mu\otimes(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in \Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$, define $\rho(x) = \{k \,|\, x_k \in \mathfrak{c}_k\}$ and $\varrho(x) = \{k \,|\, x_k \in \mathfrak{k}_k\}$ and $T^{\sigma,\omega}_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C}) = \{x \in T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C}) \,|\, \rho(x) = \sigma,\ \varrho(x) = \omega\}$.

Notice the difference between $K_{\sigma,\omega}$ in Definition 1.2 and $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ in the above definition. The vertex set $\langle\sigma, \omega\rangle$ of $K_{\sigma,\omega}$ is a subset of $[m]$ and the vertex set $[\sigma, \omega]$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ is a subset of $[n]$. But $[m]$ and $[n]$ are two irrelevant sets, since $[m]$ is a substitute of (X, A) and $[n]$ is a substitute of $\mathfrak{S}$. We always use $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \mu_i, \mu', \cdots$ to denote subsets of $[n]$ and other Greek letters to denote subsets of $[m]$.

Theorem 3.5 *Let everything be as in Theorem 3.3 and Definition 3.4. Then the following conclusions hold.*

1) For any $x = \mu\otimes(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in \Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ with $\rho(x) = \sigma$, $\varrho(x) = \omega$ and $\mathfrak{C}_x$ as defined in Definition 2.4, $\mathfrak{C}_x = \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}|_\mu = \{\lambda\cap\mu \,|\, \lambda \in \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}\}$ and there is a chain complex isomorphism $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x), d) \cong (C_*(2^\mu, \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}|_\mu), d)$. Specifically, if $x \in T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$, $(C_{*,*}(x), d) \cong (C_*(2^\mu, \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}), d)$.

2) $\mu\otimes\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}$ is a 1-1 correspondence from $T^{\sigma,\omega}_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ to the base of $T^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M)$ for all $(\sigma, \omega) \in I_M$, where $T^{\sigma,\omega}_*(M)$ is as defined in Definition 1.2.

3) The geometrical realization space $|\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}| \simeq |K_{\sigma,\omega}|$ ($\simeq$ means homotopic equivalent of topological spaces), where $K_{\sigma,\omega}$ is as in Definition 1.2. So for $x = \mu\otimes\mathbf{x} \in T^{\sigma,\omega}_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$,

$$H_k(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x), d) = H_k(2^\mu, \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{k-1}(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{k-1}(K_{\sigma,\omega}) \cong H_k(2^{\langle\sigma,\omega\rangle}, K_{\sigma,\omega}).$$

Proof. 1) The correspondence $\lambda\otimes(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \to \lambda$ induces a chain complex isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x), d)$ to $(C_*(2^\mu, \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}|_\mu), d)$.

2) Just the conclusion that $T_*(M)$ is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of top vertices $T_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ of $\Gamma_{*,*}(\mathfrak{C})$ which is proven in Theorem 3.3.

3) Suppose $\sigma = \{i_0, \cdots, i_s\}$. Then $\mathfrak{S}|_\omega = (\sigma_{i_0}\cap\omega, \cdots, \sigma_{i_s}\cap\omega)$ is a simplex cover of $K_{\sigma,\omega}$. Let $U_k = |\mathrm{star}_{K_{\sigma,\omega}}(\sigma_{i_k}\cap\omega)|\backslash|\mathrm{link}_{K_{\sigma,\omega}}(\sigma_{i_k}\cap\omega)|$. Then $\{U_0, \cdots, U_s\}$ is an open cover of $|K_{\sigma,\omega}|$ such that every nonempty $U_{j_0}\cap\cdots\cap U_{j_t}$ is contractible. By definition, $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ is the nerve of the open cover $\{U_0, \cdots, U_s\}$. So $|\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}| \simeq |K_{\sigma,\omega}|$. □

Theorem 3.6 *Let everything be as in Theorem 3.5. For $x_i \in T^{\sigma_i,\omega_i}_*(\mathfrak{C})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that $\sigma_2 \subset \sigma_1$, $\sigma_3 \subset \sigma_1$ and $\omega_1 \subset \omega_2\cup\omega_3$, there is a chain complex homomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^{x_2,x_3}_{x_1} \colon (\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x_1), d) \to (\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x_2)\otimes\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x_3), d\otimes d)$$

that induces a homology homomorphism $\Delta^{x_2,x_3}_{x_1}$ and the following commutative diagram ($v_i = \langle\sigma_i, \omega_i\rangle$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_*(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x_1), d) \arrow[r, "\Delta^{x_2,x_3}_{x_1}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H_*(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x_2), d)\otimes H_*(\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(x_3), d) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(|2^{v_1}|, |K_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}|) \arrow[r, "\Delta^{v_2,v_3}_{v_1}"] & H_*(|2^{v_2}|, |K_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}|)\otimes H_*(|2^{v_3}|, |K_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}|),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical isomorphisms are obtained by replacing the simplicial homology on the right side of the isomorphism in 3) of Theorem 3.5 by singular homology and $\Delta^{v_2,v_3}_{v_1}$ is the coproduct between relative singular homology groups defined as follows. For simplicial complexes K, K', K'' with respectively vertex set $\varrho, \varrho', \varrho''$ such that K is a simplicial subcomplex of $K'\cup K''$ and S a set such that $\varrho'\cup\varrho'' \subset S$, the coproduct $\Delta^{\varrho',\varrho''}_{\varrho}$ is defined by the following commutative diagram, which is obviously independent of the choice of S

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_*(|2^\varrho|, |K|) \arrow[r, "\Delta^{\varrho',\varrho''}_{\varrho}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H_*(|2^{\varrho'}|, |K'|)\otimes H_*(|2^{\varrho''}|, |K''|) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(|2^S|, |K|) \arrow[r, "\Delta_*"] & H_*(|2^S|, |K'|)\otimes H_*(|2^S|, |K''|),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vetical isomorphisms are induced by the inclusion map and $\Delta(x)=(x,x)$ *for all* $x\in|2^S|$ *is the diagonal map of* $|2^S|$ *regarded as a map between space pairs from the space pair* $(|2^S|,|K|)$ *to the product space pair* $(|2^S|,|K'|)\times(|2^S|,|K''|)=(|2^S|\times|2^S|,|2^S|\times|K''|\cup|K'|\times|2^S|)$*.*

Proof. For the simplex cover $\mathfrak{S}=(\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_n)$ of K, define simplicial complex $\overline{K}$ as follows. The vertex set of $\overline{K}$ is $[n]$. An non-empty set $\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\subset[n]$ is a simplex of $\overline{K}$ if and only if $\cap_{j=0}^s\sigma_{i_j}\neq\phi$. It is obvious that $\overline{K}$ is a simplicial complex. Define maps $\psi\colon K\backslash\{\phi\}\to\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}$ and $\varphi\colon\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}\to K\backslash\{\phi\}$ as follows. For a non-empty simplex σ of K, $\psi(\sigma)=\{k\in[n]\,|\,\sigma\subset\sigma_k\}$. For a non-empty simplex $\mu=\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}$ of $\overline{K}$, $\varphi(\mu)=\cap_{j=0}^s\sigma_{i_j}$. ψ and φ are order reversing, i.e., for $\sigma\subset\tau$, $\psi(\sigma)\supset\psi(\tau)$ and for $\mu\subset\nu$, $\varphi(\mu)\supset\varphi(\nu)$. So ψ and φ are not simplicial maps but they induce simplicial maps between barycentric subdivision complexes.

For a simplicial complex $L\neq\{\}$, denote the barycentric subdivision complex of L by $S(L)$. $S(L)=L$ if $L=\{\phi\}$. Then $S(L)\backslash\{\phi\}$ is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of all set of non-empty simplices $\{\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_s\}$ of L such that σ_i is a proper face of σ_{i+1} for $i=1,\cdots,s{-}1$. Define $\Psi\colon S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})\to S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})$ and $\Phi\colon S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})\to S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})$ as follows. For a non-empty simplex $\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_s\}$ of $S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})$, $\Psi(\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_s\})=\{\psi(\eta_s),\cdots,\psi(\eta_1)\}$ and for a non-empty simplex $\{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_t\}$ of $S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})$, $\Phi(\{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_t\})=\{\varphi(\mu_t),\cdots,\varphi(\mu_1)\}$, where repetition is canceled in the image set. It is obvious that Ψ and Φ are simplicial maps. For a simplicial map $f\colon K\to L$, denote the corresponding piecewise linear map between the geometrical realization spaces by $|f|\colon|K|\to|L|$. Notice that for a non-empty simplex $\sigma\in K$, $\sigma\subset\phi\psi(\sigma)$. If $\sigma\neq\phi\psi(\sigma)$, then for all $\eta\subset\sigma$, $\phi\psi(\eta)=\phi\psi(\sigma)$. This implies that if $\sigma=\phi\psi(\sigma)$, then for all $\sigma\subset\tau$, $\tau=\phi\psi(\tau)$. Thus, for any simplex $V=\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_s\}$ of $S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})$, if $\Phi\Psi(V)\neq V$, then there is a $0\leqslant k\leqslant s$ such that $\eta_k\neq\phi\psi(\eta_k)$ and $\eta_j=\phi\psi(\eta_j)$ for $j>k$. So both V and $\Phi\Psi(V)$ are faces of the same simplex $\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_k,\phi\psi(\eta_k),\eta_{k+1},\cdots,\eta_s\}$ (cancel $\phi\psi(\eta_k)$ if $\phi\psi(\eta_k)=\eta_{k+1}$) of $S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})$. This implies that $|\Phi||\Psi|$ is homotopic to the identity map of $|S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})|$ by the linear homotopy $H(t,x)=(1{-}t)\Phi\Psi(x)+tx$ for all $x\in|S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})|$. For a simplex $W=\{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_{r_1},\cdots,\mu_{r_{t-1}+1},\cdots,\mu_{r_t}\}$ of $S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})$ such that $\cap_{k\in\mu_s}\sigma_k=\sigma_{u_i}$ for $s=r_{i-1}{+}1,\cdots,r_i$ and every $\sigma_{u_{i+1}}$ is a proper subset of σ_{u_i}, both W and $\Psi\Phi(W)$ are faces of the same simplex $\{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_{r_1},\psi\phi(\mu_{r_1}),\cdots,\mu_{r_{t-1}+1},\cdots,\mu_{r_t},\psi\phi(\mu_{r_t})\}$ (cancel $\psi\phi(\mu_{r_i})$ if $\psi\phi(\mu_{r_i})=\mu_{r_i}$). So $|\Psi||\Phi|$ is also homotopic to the identity map of $|S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})|$ by linear homotopy.

Let $K_{\sigma,\omega}$ be as in Definition 1.2 and $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$ be as defined in Definition 3.4. Define maps $\psi_{\sigma,\omega}\colon K_{\sigma,\omega}\backslash\{\phi\}\to\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}\backslash\{\phi\}$ and $\varphi_{\sigma,\omega}\colon\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}\backslash\{\phi\}\to K_{\sigma,\omega}\backslash\{\phi\}$ as follows. For a non-empty simplex η of $K_{\sigma,\omega}$, $\psi_{\sigma,\omega}(\eta)=\{k\,|\,\sigma{\cup}\eta\subset\sigma_k\}$. For a non-empty simplex $\mu=\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega}$, $\varphi_{\sigma,\omega}(\mu)=(\cap_{j=0}^s\sigma_{i_j})\backslash\sigma$. $\psi_{\sigma,\omega}$ and $\varphi_{\sigma,\omega}$ are order reversing and so are not simplicial maps. But they induce simplicial maps $\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}\colon S(K_{\sigma,\omega})\to S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega})$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}\colon S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega})\to S(K_{\sigma,\omega})$ defined by $\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}(\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_s\})=\{\psi_{\sigma,\omega}(\eta_s),\cdots,\psi_{\sigma,\omega}(\eta_1)\}$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}(\{\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_t\})=\{\varphi_{\sigma,\omega}(\mu_t),\cdots,\varphi_{\sigma,\omega}(\mu_1)\}$, where repetition is canceled in the image set. $S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega})$ is naturally a simplicial subcomplex of $S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})$. Regard $S(K_{\sigma,\omega})$ as a simplicial subcomplex of $S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})$ by the correspondence $\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_s\}\to\{\sigma{\cup}\eta_1,\cdots,\sigma{\cup}\eta_s\}$. Denote both the inclusion map by i. Then by definition, $i\,\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}=\Psi\,i$ and $i\,\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}=\Phi\,i$. This implies that V and $\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}(V)$ are faces of the same simplex for all non-empty simplex V of $S(K_{\sigma,\omega})$ and $|\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}||\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}|$ is homotopic to the identity map of $S(K_{\sigma,\omega})$. Similarly, $|\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}||\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}|$ is homotopic to the identity map of $S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega})$. So we have the following commutative diagram of long exact sequences of simplicial homology groups

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & H_k(S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega})) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}"'] & H_k(S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & H_k(S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\omega})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma,\omega}"'] & H_{k-1}(S(K_{\sigma,\omega})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\sigma,\omega}"'] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & H_k(S(K_{\sigma,\omega})) \arrow[r, "i"] & H_k(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})) \arrow[r] & H_k(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma,\omega})) \arrow[r] & H_{k-1}(S(K_{\sigma,\omega})) \arrow[r] & \cdots,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where we use the same symbol to denote a simplicial map and the homology homomorphism induced by it. Since both Φ and $\Phi_{\sigma,\omega}$ are isomorphisms, $\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma,\omega}$ is also an isomorphism.

Suppose $x_i=\mu_i{\otimes}\mathbf{x}_i$ for x_1,x_2,x_3 in the theorem. For ordered subset $\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\subset\mu_1$, define

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{x_1}^{x_2,x_3}(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}{\otimes}\mathbf{x}_1)=\Sigma_{k=0}^s\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}{\otimes}\mathbf{x}_2\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}{\otimes}\mathbf{x}_3,$$

where $\{j_1,\cdots,j_u\}{\otimes}(x_1,\cdots,x_m)=0$ if there is $l\in\cap_{v=1}^u\sigma_{j_v}$ such that $x_l\in\mathfrak{k}_l$. By the isomorphism in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(C_{*,*}(x_1),d) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\Delta}_{x_1}^{x_2,x_3}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & (C_{*,*}(x_2){\otimes}C_{*,*}(x_3),d{\otimes}d) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
(C_*(2^{\mu_1},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}),d) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\Delta}_1^{2,3}"] & (C_*(2^{\mu_2},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}){\otimes}C_*(2^{\mu_3},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}),d{\otimes}d).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have chain complex homomorphism $\Delta\colon (C_*(2^{[n]}),d) \to (C_*(2^{[n]})\otimes C_*(2^{[n]}), d\otimes d)$ defined as follows. For $\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\} \in C_*(2^{[n]})$, $\Delta(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}) = \Sigma_{k=0}^{s}\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}$. If $(\cap_{j=0}^{s}\sigma_{i_j})\cap\omega_1 \neq \phi$, then at least one of $(\cap_{j=0}^{k}\sigma_{i_j})\cap\omega_2$ and $(\cap_{j=k}^{s}\sigma_{i_j})\cap\omega_3$ is non-empty. This implies that

$$\Delta(C_*(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_1,\omega_1})) \subset C_*(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_2,\omega_2})\otimes C_*(2^{[n]}) + C_*(2^{[n]})\otimes C_*(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}).$$

$\sigma_2 \subset \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3 \subset \sigma_1$ imply that $C_*(2^{\mu_1}) \subset C_*(2^{\mu_2})$ and $C_*(2^{\mu_1}) \subset C_*(2^{\mu_3})$.

So we have the following two commutative diagrams of short exact sequences of simplicial chain complexes ($C_i = C_*(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i,\omega_i})$, $\widetilde{C}_i = C_*(2^{\mu_i})$ and $C = C_*(2^{[n]})$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & C/C_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Delta_1^{2,3}"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C_2\otimes C + C\otimes C_3 \arrow[r] & C\otimes C \arrow[r] & (C_*/C_2)\otimes(C/C_3) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & \widetilde{C}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & \widetilde{C}_1/C_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Delta}_1^{2,3}"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C_2\otimes\widetilde{C}_3 + \widetilde{C}_2\otimes C_3 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{C}_2\otimes\widetilde{C}_3 \arrow[r] & (\widetilde{C}_2/C_2)\otimes(\widetilde{C}_3/C_3) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and from the above diagrams, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_*(2^{\mu_1},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\Delta}_1^{2,3}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & C_*(2^{\mu_2},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_2,\omega_2})\otimes C_*(2^{\mu_3},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
C_*(2^{[n]},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}) \arrow[r, "\Delta_1^{2,3}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & C_*(2^{[n]},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_2,\omega_2})\otimes C_*(2^{[n]},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
C_*(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}) \arrow[r, "\Delta_1^{2,3}"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & C_*(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_2,\omega_2})\otimes C_*(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}},\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
C_*(S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_1,\omega_1})) \arrow[r, "\Delta_1^{2,3}"] & C_*(S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}))\otimes C_*(S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Apply the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi}_{\sigma_i,\omega_i}\colon C_*(S(2^{\overline{K}\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i,\omega_i})) \to C_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_i,\omega_i}))$ to the last homomorphism, we get a chain complex homomorphism

$$C_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_1,\omega_1})) \xrightarrow{\Delta_1^{2,3}} C_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}))\otimes C_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_3,\omega_3})).$$

Notice that all $\Delta_1^{2,3}$ is induced by a simplicial approximation of the diagonal map Δ defined by $\Delta(x) = (x,x)$. Since barycentric subdivision does not change the homology, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_1,\omega_1})) \arrow[r, "(\Delta_1^{2,3})_*"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}))\otimes H_*(S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}),S(K_{\sigma_3,\omega_3})) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(|S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})|,|S(K_{\sigma_1,\omega_1})|) \arrow[r, "S(\Delta)_*"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H_*(|S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})|,|S(K_{\sigma_2,\omega_2})|)\otimes H_*(|S(2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}})|,|S(K_{\sigma_3,\omega_3})|) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(|2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}|,|K_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}|) \arrow[r, "\Delta_*"] \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] & H_*(|2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}|,|K_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}|)\otimes H_*(|2^{K\backslash\{\phi\}}|,|K_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}|) \arrow[d, equal, "\wr"] \\
H_*(|2^{v_1}|,|K_{\sigma_1,\omega_1}|) \arrow[r, "\Delta_{v_1}^{v_2,v_3}"] & H_*(|2^{v_2}|,|K_{\sigma_2,\omega_2}|)\otimes H_*(|2^{v_3}|,|K_{\sigma_3,\omega_3}|)
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $\Delta_{x_1}^{x_2,x_3}$ and $\Delta_{v_1}^{v_2,v_3}$ satisfy the commutative diagram of the theorem. □

Definition 3.7 *Let $M = \mathcal{Z}_K(X,A)$ and $T_*(M)$ be as in Definition 3.2. We use the same symbol to denote both the base and the space generated by the base. Then the coproduct $\Delta^T\colon T_*(M) \to T_*(M)\otimes T_*(M)$ is defined as follows. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1,\cdots,x_m) \in T_*(M)$, regard x_k as an element of $H_*(A_k)$ if $x_k \in \mathfrak{i}_k$. Suppose $\Delta_k(x_k) = \Sigma_j c_{k,j}x'_{k,j}\otimes x''_{k,j}$, where Δ_k is the coproduct of the coalgebra $H_*(X_k)$ or $H_*(A_k)$, $c_{k,j} \in \Bbbk\backslash\{0\}$, and $x'_{k,j}, x''_{k,j}$ are base elements of $H_*(X_k)$ or $H_*(A_k)$. Then*

$$\Delta^T(\mathbf{x}) = \Sigma_{j_1,\cdots,j_m}(-1)^{\varepsilon}c_{1,j_1}\cdots c_{m,j_m}(x'_{1,j_1},\cdots,x'_{m,j_m})\otimes(x''_{1,j_1},\cdots,x''_{m,j_m}),$$

where $\varepsilon = |x''_{1,j_1}|(|x'_{2,j_2}|+\cdots+|x'_{m,j_m}|)+|x''_{2,j_2}|(|x'_{3,j_3}|+\cdots+|x'_{m,j_m}|)+\cdots+|x''_{m-1,j_{m-1}}||x'_{m,j_m}|.$

Notice that in general, Δ^T is not coassociative.

Theorem 3.8 *Let everything be as in Theorem 1.3 and Definition 3.7. The coproduct of*

$$H_*(M)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H_*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|)\otimes T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$$

is as follows. For $\mathbf{x}\in T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$ *and* $a\in H_*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|)$*, if* $\Delta^T(\mathbf{x})=\Sigma_j c_j\mathbf{x}'_j\otimes\mathbf{x}''_j$ *and* $\Delta_v^{v'_j,v''_j}(a)=\Sigma_k a'_{j,k}\otimes a''_{j,k}$*, where* $\mathbf{x}'_j\in T_*^{\sigma'_j,\omega'_j}(M)$ *and* $\mathbf{x}''_j\in T_*^{\sigma''_j,\omega''_j}(M)$*,* v'_j *and* v''_j *are respcetively the vertex set of* $K_{\sigma'_j,\omega'_j}$ *and* $K_{\sigma''_j,\omega''_j}$*,* $\Delta_v^{v'_j,v''_j}$ *is as defined in Theorem 3.6, then*

$$\Delta(a\otimes\mathbf{x})=\Sigma_{j,k}\,(-1)^{|x'_j||a''_{j,k}|}c_j(a'_{j,k}\otimes\mathbf{x}'_j)\otimes(a''_{j,k}\otimes\mathbf{x}''_j).$$

Dually, the product of

$$H^*(M)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H^*_{\sigma,\omega}(M)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}H^*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|)\otimes T^*_{\sigma,\omega}(M)$$

is as follows. For $\mathbf{x}_i\in T^*_{\sigma_i,\omega_i}(M)$ *and* $a_i\in H^*(|2^{v_i}|,|K_{\sigma_i,\omega_i}|)$*,* $i=1,2$*, if* $\langle\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2\rangle_T=\Sigma_j c_j\mathbf{x}_j$ *with* $\mathbf{x}_j\in T^*_{\sigma_j,\omega_j}(M)$ *and* v_j *the vertex set of* K_{σ_j,ω_j}*, where* $\langle\,,\rangle_T$ *is the dual product of* Δ^T *in Definition 3.7, then*

$$(a_1\otimes\mathbf{x}_1)(a_2\otimes\mathbf{x}_2)=\Sigma_j(-1)^{|\mathbf{x}_1||a_2|}c_j\langle a_1,a_2\rangle_{v_1,v_2}^{v_j}\otimes\mathbf{x}_j,$$

where $\langle\,,\rangle_{v_1,v_2}^{v_j}$ *is the dual product of* $\Delta_{v_1}^{v_2,v_3}$ *in Theorem 3.6.*

Proof. Suppose $K=\{\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_n\}$ (in any order), then $\mathfrak{S}=(\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_n)$ is a simplex cover of K. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the cover associated to $\mathfrak{S}$. Consider the coproduct $\Delta\colon\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)\to\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)\otimes\mathfrak{C}_{*,*}(M)$ in Definition 2.2. Let everything be as in Theorem 3.3 and its proof. For $x=\mu\otimes\mathbf{x}=\mu\otimes(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\in T_{*,*}^{\sigma,\omega}(\mathfrak{C})$, let $\eta=\cap_{j\in\mu}\sigma_j$. Now we prove that there is no $k\in\eta$ such that $x_k\in\mathfrak{i}_k$. Suppose there is $k\in\eta$ such that $x_k\in\mathfrak{i}_k$. Let $\eta'=\eta\backslash\{k\}$, then $\eta'\in K$ and there is a $j\in[n]$ such that $\eta'=\sigma_j$. $\mu\cup\{j\}\otimes\mathbf{x}$ is in the same connected component of x. A contradiction to that x is a top vertex! So there is no $k\in\eta$ such that $x_k\in\mathfrak{i}_k$. This implies that $\Delta_\mu(\mathbf{x})=\Delta^T(\mathbf{x})$, where Δ_μ is the coproduct of $H_*(M_\mu)$ as in Definition 3.2. Suppose $\Delta^T(\mathbf{x})=\Sigma_j c_j\mathbf{x}'_j\otimes\mathbf{x}''_j$, where $c_j\in\Bbbk\backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathbf{x}'_j,\mathbf{x}''_j\in T_*(M)$. Then for ordered subset $\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\subset\mu$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\mathbf{x})\\ =\;&\Sigma_j\Sigma_{k=0}^s(-1)^{(s-k)|\mathbf{x}'_j|}c_j\big(\{i_0,\cdots,i_k\}\otimes\mathbf{x}'_j\big)\otimes\big(\{i_k,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\mathbf{x}''_j\big),\end{aligned}$$

where $\{j_1,\cdots,j_u\}\otimes(x_1,\cdots,x_m)=0$ if there is $l\in\cap_{v=1}^u\sigma_{j_v}$ such that $x_l\in\mathfrak{k}_l$. Compare $\Delta(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\mathbf{x})$ with $\widetilde{\Delta}_{x_1}^{x_2,x_3}(\{i_0,\cdots,i_s\}\otimes\mathbf{x}_1)$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.6. They differ only in sign. So the induced homology homomorphism (still denoted by) Δ is just the formula in the theorem. □

Theorem 3.9 *Let everything be as in Theorem 3.8. If for every k, there is a subcoalgebra* $\mathfrak{i}_k$ *of* $H_*(A_k)$ *such that the restriction of* i_k *on* $\mathfrak{i}_k$ *is a coalgebra isomorphism from* $\mathrm{coim}i_k$ *to* $\mathrm{im}i_k$*, then* $(T_*(M),\Delta^T)$ *is a cocommutative, coassociative (in the graded sense) coalgebra and* $(T^*(M),\langle\,,\rangle_T)$ *is a commutative, associative algebra over* $\Bbbk$*.*

Proof. In general, $\mathrm{coim}i_k=\mathrm{im}i_k$ only as Abellian groups, the coproduct of the two groups are different. But in the condition of the theorem, $\mathrm{coim}i_k=\mathrm{im}i_k$ as coalgebras. So the cocommutativity and coassociativity of Δ^T follow from that of every $H_*(A_k)$ and $H_*(X_k)$. □

Remark When $M=\mathcal{Z}_K(D^2,S^1)$, the usual moment-angle complex with every space pair $(X_k,A_k)=(D^2,S^1)$, there is an algebra isomorphism $H^*(M)=\mathrm{Tor}_*^{\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]}(F(K),\Bbbk)$, where $F(K)$ is the Stanley-Reisner face ring of K. But the formula even can not be generalized to the following most similar case when every $\widetilde{H}_*(X_k)=0$ and $\widetilde{H}_s(A_k)=\Bbbk$ for $s=r_k>1$ and $\widetilde{H}_s(A_k)=0$ otherwise. Since the degree r_k may be even or odd and the degree of x_k can only be even, the formula can not be generalized to this case by giving the polynomial ring $\Bbbk[x_1,\cdots,x_m]$ a graded commutative algebra structure.

We end this paper with an example. Let $M=\mathcal{Z}_K\begin{pmatrix} r_1{+}1 & \cdots & r_m{+}1\\ k_1 & \cdots & k_m\end{pmatrix}$ be as in Example 1.7. It is a generalized moment-angle complex that satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.9. Since all $T_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)$ is one dimensional, we have

$$H_*^{\sigma,\omega}(M)=H_*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|)\otimes(n_1,\cdots,n_m)=\Sigma^{|(\sigma,\omega)|}H_*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|),$$

where Σ^r means uplift the degree by r, $|(\sigma,\omega)|=\Sigma_{i\in\sigma}(r_i{+}1)+\Sigma_{i\in\omega}k_i$, $n_i=r_i{+}1$ if $i\in\sigma$, $n_i=0$ if $i\in\widetilde{\sigma}$, $n_i=k_i$ if $i\in\omega$. $(\sigma,\omega)\to(n_1,\cdots,n_m)$ is a 1-1 correspondence from I_M to $T_*(M)$. Identify the two sets and the coproduct Δ^T is defined by that for all $(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M$

$$\Delta^T(\sigma,\omega)=\Sigma\,(-1)^{\varepsilon}(\sigma',\omega')\otimes(\sigma'',\omega'')$$

where $\varepsilon=n''_1(n'_2{+}\cdots{+}n'_m)+n''_2(n'_3{+}\cdots{+}n'_m)+\cdots+n''_{m-1}n'_m$ and the sum is taken over all $\sigma'\sqcup\sigma''=\sigma$,

$\omega'\sqcup\omega'' = \omega$ ($\sqcup$ means disjoint union). Suppose v', v'' are respectively the vertex set of $K_{\sigma',\omega'}$ and $K_{\sigma'',\omega''}$ and $\Delta_v^{v',v''}\colon H_*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|)\to H_*(|2^{v'}|,|K_{\sigma',\omega'}|)\otimes H_*(|2^{v''}|,|K_{\sigma'',\omega''}|)$ is as defined in Theorem 3.6. Then for $a\in H_*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|)$ with $\Delta_v^{v',v''}(a)=\Sigma_j a_j'\otimes a_j''$,

$$\Delta(a)=\sum_{\sigma'\sqcup\sigma''=\sigma,\,\omega'\sqcup\omega''=\omega}\ \sum_j(-1)^{\varepsilon+|a_j''||(\sigma',\omega')|}a_j'\otimes a_j'',$$

where the element $b\otimes(l_1,\cdots,l_m)$ in $H_*(|2^w|,|K_{\rho,\varrho}|)\otimes(l_1,\cdots,l_m)$ is still simply denoted by b.

Dually,

$$H^*(M)=\oplus_{(\sigma,\omega)\in I_M}\Sigma^{|(\sigma,\omega)|}H^*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma,\omega}|).$$

For $a'\in H^*(|2^{v'}|,|K_{\sigma',\omega'}|)$ and $a''\in H^*(|2^{v''}|,|K_{\sigma'',\omega''}|)$, still denote the corresponding element in $H^*_{\sigma',\omega'}$ and $H^*_{\sigma'',\omega''}$ by a' and a''. Then $a'a''=0$ except that $\sigma'\cap\sigma''=\phi$, $\omega'\cap\omega''=\phi$ and $(\sigma'\cup\sigma'',\omega'\cup\omega'')\in I_M$. For such σ',σ'' and ω',ω'',

$$a'a''=\sum(-1)^{\varepsilon+|a''||(\sigma',\omega')|}\langle a',a''\rangle^v_{v',v''}$$

where ε is as above and $\langle\,,\rangle^v_{v',v''}\colon H^*(|2^{v'}|,|K_{\sigma',\omega'}|)\otimes H^*(|2^{v''}|,|K_{\sigma'',\omega''}|)\to H^*(|2^v|,|K_{\sigma'\sqcup\sigma'',\omega'\sqcup\omega''}|)$ is the dual map of $\Delta_v^{v',v''}$.

References

[1] C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological Methods in Transformation Groups,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **32**, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[2] A. Bahri, M.Bendersky, F. R. Cohen and S. Gitler, Decompositions of the polyhedral product functor with applications to moment-angle complexes and related spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106** (2009), 12241-12243.

[3] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen and S. Gitler, *The polyhedral product functor: A methord of computation for moment-angle complexes, arrangement and related spaces,* preprint arXiv:0711.4689v2 [math.AT] 8 Dec 2008.

[4] I. Baskakov, *Cohomology of K-powers of spaces and the combinatorics of simplicial divisions,* Russian Math. Surveys **57** (2002), no. 5, 989-990.

[5] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, *Torus Actions and Their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics*, University Lecture Series, Vol. **24**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2002.

[6] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, Combinatorics of simplicial cell complexes and tours action, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **247** (2004), 33-49.

[7] X. Cao and Z. Lü, Möbius transform, moment-angle complexes and Halperin-Carlsson conjecture, *preprint.* arXiv:0908.3174v2 [math.CO] 12 Sep 2009.

[8] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, coxeter orbifolds and torus action, *Duck Math. J.* **62** (1991), 417-451.

[9] G. Denham and A. Suciu, Moment-angle complexes, monomial ideals and Massey products, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), 25-60.

[10] M. Franz, The intergral cohomology of toric manifolds, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **252** (2006), 53-62. [Proceedings of the Keldysh Conference, Moscow 2004].

[11] R. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse Theory,* Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[12] J. Grbic and R. Theriault, *Homotopy type of the complement of a coordinate sunspace arrangement of codimension two,* Russian Math. Surveys **59** (2004), no. 3, 1207-1209.

[13] P. J. Hilton, U. Stammbach, *A Course in Homological Algebra,* Berlin-Heideberg-New York: Springer 1971.

[14] M. Hochster, *Cohen-Macaulay ring, combinatorics and simplecial complexes,* in: Ring theory, II (Proc. Second Conf. Univ. Oklahoma, Norman, Okla., 1975), pp. 171-222.

[15] S. Lopez de Medrano, *Topology of the intersection of quadrics in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, in *Algebraic Topology* (Arcata Ca), Springer Verlag LNM **1370** (1989), Springer Verlag.

[16] Z. Lu and T. Panov, Moment-angle complexes from simplicial posets, *preprint* arXiv:0912.2219v1 [math.AT] 11 Dec 2009.

[17] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Math. **227**, Springer, 2005.

[18] T. E. Panov, Cohomology of face rings and tours actions, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.* **347** (2008), 165-201.

[19] G. Porter, *The homotopy groups of wedge of suspensions,* Amer. J. Math., **88** (1966), 655-662.

[20] R. P. Stanley *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra* second edition, Progress in Math. **41** Birkhauser, Boston, 1996.

[21] Y. Ustinovsky, Toral rank conjecture for moment-angle complexes, *preprint* arXiv:0909.1053v2 [math.AT] 29 Sep 2009.

[22] R. Vogt, *Homotopy limits and colimits,* Math. Z. **134** (1973), 11-52.

[23] Q.Zheng, *A new Massey product on Ext groups*, Journal of Algebra., **183** (1996), 378-395.

[24] Q.Zheng, *S-module and the new Massey-product*, Journal of Algebra., **190** (1997), 478-397.

[25] Q. Zheng and X. Wang, The homology of simplicial complexes and the cohomology of moment-angle complexes *preprint* arXiv:1109.6382v1[math.AT]

School of Mathematical Science and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P.R.China

E-mail address: `zhengqb@nankai.edu.cn`